MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Requestor Name and Address:	MFDR Tracking #: M4-07-6353-01
HARRIS METHODIST SOUTHWEST 3255 WEST PIONEER PARKWAY	DWC Claim #:
ARLINGTON TX 76013	Injured Employee:
Respondent Name and Box #:	Date of Injury:
ACE INDEMNITY INSURANCE CO	Employer Name:
Box #: 15	Insurance Carrier #:

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Requestor's Position Summary: "...understanding TWCC wants to move to a hospital reimbursement based on Medicare, we are asking for %-over-Medicare. We have found 140% of the Medicare allowable is fair and reasonable and has been accepted by most carriers." "Medicare would have allowed this facility at the APC rate of \$2,201.91 for APC 256 for CPT 69631. Allowing this amount at 140% would yield a fair and reasonable allowance of \$3,082.67. We received payment of \$1,100.00 and therefore believe we are entitled to additional reimbursement of \$1,982.67."

Principal Documentation:

- 1. DWC 60 Package
- 2. Medical Bill(s)
- 3. EOB(s)
- 4. Medical Records
- 5. Total Amount Sought \$1,982.67

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary: "The issue in dispute is: Fee schedule allowance."

Principal Documentation:

1. Response Package

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS				
Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
5/30/2006	97, (833-013), W4, (920-002), W10, (850-068), 16, (855-022, 17, (971-035), M	Outpatient Surgery	\$1,982.67	\$0.00
			Total Due:	\$0.00

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Medical Reimbursement*, effective May 2, 2006 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on May 22, 2007.

- 1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code:
 - 16-Claim/service lacks information which is needed for adjudication. Additional information is supplied using remittance advice remarks codes whenever appropriate. This change to be effective 4/1/2007: At least one Remark Code must be provided.
 - (855-022)-Charge denied due to lack of sufficient documentation of services rendered.
 - 17-Payment adjusted because requested information was not provided or was insufficient/incomplete. Additional

information is supplied using the remittance advice remarks codes whenever appropriate. Eff 4/1/2007: At least one Remark Code must be provided. [sic]

- (971-035)-Please submit copy of invoice or receipt detailing specific costs for the durable medical equipment.
- 97-Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
- (855-013)-Payment denied-This service is included in the global value of another billed procedure.
- W10-No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- (850-068)-The recommended payment reflects a fair, reasonable and consistent methodology for reimbursement pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 413.011(D) of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act.
- W4-No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration.
- (920-002)-In response to a provider inquiry, we have re-analyzed this bill and arrived at the same recommended allowance.
- M-No MAR.
- 2. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 2, 2006, 31 TexReg 3561, which requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers' compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection §134.1(d) which states that "Fair and reasonable reimbursement: (1) is consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensures that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) is based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available."
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(A), effective December 31, 2006, 31 TexReg 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include "a copy of all medical bill(s)... as originally submitted to the carrier and a copy of all medical bill(s) submitted to the carrier for reconsideration..." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not provided a copy of all medical bill(s) as originally submitted to the carrier. The requestor has therefore failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form and manner prescribed under Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(A).
- 5. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(B), effective December 31, 2006, 31 TexReg 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include "a copy of each explanation of benefits (EOB)... relevant to the fee dispute or, if no EOB was received, convincing documentation providing evidence of carrier receipt of the request for an EOB." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not provided a copy of the original EOB detailing the insurance carrier's response to the request for consideration. Nor has the requestor provided evidence of carrier receipt of the request for an EOB. The requestor has therefore failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form and manner prescribed under Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(B).
- 6. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(C), effective December 31, 2006, 31 TexReg 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include "the form DWC-60 table listing the specific disputed health care and charges in the form and manner prescribed by the Division." Review of the *Table* of *Disputed Services* finds that that the documentation does not support that the services in dispute were rendered on the dates of service listed on the requestor's *Table*. The *Table* lists the disputed date of service as 5/30/06. However, the submitted bill indicates that the disputed charges were rendered on dates of service5/24/06, 5/26/06 and 5/30/06. The requestor has therefore failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form and manner prescribed under Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(C).
- 7. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iii), effective December 31, 2006, 31 TexReg 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include a position statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "how the Labor Code, Division rules, and fee guidelines impact the disputed fee issues." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not discussed how the Labor Code, Division rules and fee guidelines impact the disputed fee issues. The requestor has therefore failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form and manner prescribed under Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iii).
- 8. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iv), effective December 31, 2006, 31 TexReg 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include a position statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue."

Review of the requestor's documentation finds that the requestor has not discussed how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue. The requestor has therefore failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form and manner prescribed by Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iv).

- 9. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(G), effective December 31, 2006, 31 TexReg 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) when the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR), as applicable." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
 - The requestor's position statement states that "...understanding TWCC wants to move to a hospital reimbursement based on Medicare, we are asking for %-over-Medicare. We have found 140% of the Medicare allowable is fair and reasonable and has been accepted by most carriers." "Medicare would have allowed this facility at the APC rate of \$2,201.91 for APC 256 for CPT 69631. Allowing this amount at 140% would yield a fair and reasonable allowance of \$3,082.67. We received payment of \$1,100.00 and therefore believe we are entitled to additional reimbursement of \$1,982.67."
 - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of 140% of Medicare would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement.
 - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.
 - The requestor did not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would ensure the quality of medical care, achieve effective medical cost control, provide for payment that is not in excess of a fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living, consider the increased security of payment, or otherwise satisfy the requirements of Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) or Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.
 - The requestor did not discuss or support that the proposed methodology would ensure that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement.
 - The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support the proposed methodology.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

10. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(A), §133.307(c)(2)(B), §133.307(c)(2)(C), §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iii), §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iv) and §133.307(c)(2)(G). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to meet its burden of proof to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413.0311 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1 Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

	RT VII: DIVISION DECISION	NC
--	---------------------------	----

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code
§413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services
involved in this dispute.

413.031, the Division has determined that the involved in this dispute.	requestor is not entitled to additional reimburser	nent for the services
ECISION:		
		April 29, 2010
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code §413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.